

6.1 ABOUT DIETs

Name of DIET	RAIPUR	Has DIET submitted self-appraisal Report to NCTE for 2012-13	NO
No. of districts created between April 2002 and March 2011	3	Has DIET submitted Annual Action Plan for 2013-14	Yes.
Whether DIET is	Upgraded	Status of PAC	Formed
(i) Upgraded			
(ii) New			
NCTE recognition order No. for D.Ed course	ncte letter no. f.no.wrc/inspection/cg/166th/2012/93 637/date 01.08.201216	No. of DRCs sanctioned in your District, attach list	0
Annual Intake capacity in DIETs	100	No. of BRC, Attach separate list with Place, name phone no. and address of BRC	List Attached.
Actual no. of trainees admitted in 2013-14	97		
Name of DIET functional website	dietraipur@gmail.com	No. of CRC, Attach separate list with Place, name, phone no. and address of CRC	List Attached.
Name, phone and E-mail of Website In-charge	Ms.Deepa Dass ,9179852404, dassdeepa@rediffmail.com	No. of B.Ed.O, Attach separate list with Place, name, phone no. and address of B.Ed.O.	List Attached.
Name, phone no. and address, Email of D.Ed.O. in your all Districts	Mr.A.K Banzara.D.E.O		

6.2 PROCESS and Performance Indicators

Suggested Process Indicators	Suggested Performance Indicators
<p>1. Does the DIET have a detailed on the school, teachers, Block Resource Centers & Cluster Resource Centers in the district that serves? Yes.</p> <p>2. Has the DIET conducted a training need analysis for teachers? Yes.</p> <p>3. Does the DIET hold regular meeting with</p> <p>a. SSA</p> <p>b. RMSA</p> <p>c. IASE Yes</p> <p>d. CTE</p> <p>e. SCERT</p> <p>4. Has there been positive feedback on the D.Ed. Programme by student teachers, Are there records of the same? Yes.</p> <p>5. Has there been positive feedback on the in-service programmes by elementary school teachers? Are there records of them? Yes.</p> <p>6. Does the DIET use a Training Management System? Not yet.</p> <p>7. Does the DIET conduct research studies related to teacher educators in the area that it covers? Yes.</p>	<p>INPUT / ACTIVITY Measures</p> <p>1. Number of visitors to the DIET Resource Center every month (this excludes student visits during the library period)- 10-15</p> <p>2. Number of DIET faculty visits of schools in a quarter (each visit to be at least 4 hours of interaction) 3 .</p> <p>3. Availability of technology enabled infrastructure (functioning computers, internet connection email in and multi-media facilities) Yes.</p> <p>4. Average duration of Principalship in the last 5 years - 3yrs</p> <p>5. % of faculty positions filled</p> <p>6. Average age and experience of faculty - 8-20 yrs</p> <p>7. % of new books (< 3 years old) in the institution library. 75%</p>
<p>8. What are the areas of research covered? Educational Problems , Teaching , Pedagogy.</p> <p>9. How many publications have been authored by DIET faculty- conference/ seminar, presentation, reports, newspaper / journal articles, book etc. 10.</p> <p>10. Are there regular faculty development programs for DIET faculty? Partly.</p> <p>11. How many faculty members at DIET were deputed for conferences, went on study leave and undertook exposure visits? 12</p> <p>12. What is the frequency of faculty meetings within the DIET? Are there records of the same? Yes.</p> <p>13. What has been the most talked-about process improvement in the year within the DIET? RTE.</p>	<p>OUTPUT/ OUTCOME Measures</p> <p>1. Number of qualified teachers added to the system through DIETs. Yes.</p> <p>2. % of DIET students who cleared the TET. 30%</p> <p>3. No. of modules for training of teachers, etc prepared DIET faculty - 10</p> <p>4. No. of action research undertaken by the DIET faculty. 25</p> <p>5. No. of resource material developed by DIET faculty for school teachers. 4</p> <p>6. No. of faculty of DIETs who underwent capacity development and training programs . 10</p> <p>7. No. of DIETs which prepared the Annual Action Plan 2012-13. DIET Raipur.</p>

6.3 INFRASTRUCTURE PROPOSAL

The State Government shall assess the infrastructure requirements of each of the DIETs, and prepare a comprehensive proposal for its strengthening, expansion, based on State SORs and submit its proposal before the Teacher Education Approval Board. While submitting the proposal, the State Government shall indicate the status of non-recurring Central assistance received under the Scheme prior to 2013-14 in the following format.

Status of Non-recurring Central Assistance received:

Name of DIET	Year in which central assistance received	component	instalment no.	Amount	Amount of Grant Utilized	% of Grant Utilized	Remark
	NIL						
Total				0	0	0	

6.5 FUNCTION WISE FORMATS (To be prepared by each DIET and Consolidated in the State Annual Work Plan)

A PRE-SERVICE PROGRAMME						
Name of course (D.Ed.)	Intake approved by NCTE	Duration of Programme	Actual no. of trainees targetted in 2013-14 as per AWP	Achievements	Shortfalls if any with reasons	Expenditure incurred
1. D.Ed 1st year	100	2 Years (First Year)	100	97	3 Employed	2,50,000approx.
2. D.Ed 2st year	100	2 Years (Second Year)	100	98	2 Employed	

C RESOURCE CENTRE AND DOCUMENTATION									
Function	During 2013-14					Plan for 2014-15			
Resouce support types	No. of documents/ publications proposed to be released as per AWP 2013-14	No. of orientation held with teachers	Achievements	Shortfalls if any with reasons	Expenditure incurred	Planned numbers of documents/ publications releases	Planned no. of orientation held with teachers	Estimated Expenditure	Expected outcomes
						Guidance & Counseling	3days for 3 batches	2,00,000	Quality Improvement of STUDENTS
	Environment module	1	module		30000				
	Action research	1	DOCUMENT		20000	Status Report with Swot Analysis	3 days , 4 batches with BEO, BRCC, CAC	2,00,000	Assessing Tool for need base Training
					50000			4,00,000	

D TRAINING PROGRAMMES FOR TEACHERS, BRC AND CRC COORDINATORS,VEC,SMC MEMBERS,etc.

Function	During 2013-14					Plan for 2014-15			
Nature of Programme	No. of participants proposed to be covered as per AWP 2013-14	Average Duration of Programme	Achievements	Shortfalls if any with reasons	Expenditure incurred	Planned numbers of participants	Average Duration of Programme	Estimated Expenditure	Expected outcomes
CAC Orientation on Various Scheme	200	2 Months		This program will be conducted on		Technology in Pedagogy (based on D.Ed syllabus	5 days	1,00,000	Quality Teaching
H.M.(ALM) Training	100	2 Months			1,00,000	H.M Training	5 days	5,00,000	
Capacity building	70	2 Days			100000	CP by NUEPA 50		2,00,000	Quality Enhancement
Environment	34	3	Trained Teachers		1,00,000				
						Guidance And Counseling	3 days	50000	Quality Enhancement
Art& voc.	100	5 days			150000	Leadership Training	3 days	1,50,000	Quality Enhancement
Healths,Games	37	2 Days			70000	P.D.D.Ed Students	4 days	50,000	Quality Enhancement
H.M. (New) 36	36	5 Days	Trained HM	Due to election	50,000	BEO , Brcc& CAC			
						ORIENTATION	6 x2 days	1,00,000	Quality Enhancement
						Educational Magazine 400 copies		3,00,000	Quality Enhancement
						TET Training		2,00,000	
					830000		TOTAL	16,50,000	

E PROGRAMMES CONDUCTED FOR FACULTY OF DIET

[illegible]

F TECHNOLOGY IN TEACHER EDUCATION

[illegible]

G INNOVATIONS

Function	During 2013-14					Plan for 2014-15			
Nature of innovation	No. of beneficiaries proposed to be covered as per AWP 2013-14	Brief objective	Achievements	Shortfalls if any with reasons	Expenditure incurred	No. of beneficiaries proposed to be covered	Brief objectives	Estimated Expenditure	Expected outcomes
1						100	Innovative Teaching in Maths & English	2,00,000	
2									
3									
								2,00,000	

H	CONTENT & MATERIAL DEVELOPMENT
---	--------------------------------

Function	During 2013-14					Plan for 2014-15			
Type	No. of publications/Releases proposed as per AWP 2013-14	Target Group	Achievements	Shortfalls if any with reasons	Expenditure incurred	No. of proposed publications/releases	Target Group	Estimated Expenditure	Expected outcomes
	Action Research	Teacher	Content on Action Research		40000	Maths & English	Class 5 & CLASS 7	1,00,000	
								1,00,000	

I ON-SITE SUPPORT TO TEACHERS

[illegible]

6.6 BUDGET AND FINANCE (Attach additional details/documents of proposals)

S.N o.	Head of Expenditure	Central assistance in 2013-14	Expenditure incurred		Unspent Balance as on	Total proposed 2014-15	State Contributi on 2014-	Claim from GOI (2014- 15)
			Central share	State Share				
A	EXISTING DIETs/DRCs							
1	Strengthening of phsical infrastructure (i) Civil Works					Already Appraised		
	(ii)Equipments					2000000	500,000	1500000
2	programmes and activities	225000	950000			3000000	750,000	2250000
3	Salary of faculty and staff sanctioned and filled up after up- gradation	13330000	11000000			15000000	3,750,000	11250000
4	Faculty Development	375000	120000			500000	125,000	375000
5	Contingency	766000	602000			1500000	375,000	1125000
D	TECHNOLOGY IN TEACHER EDUCATION							
12	Hardware support							
13	Purchase of hub/switch							
14	One-time orientation/training of teacher educators							
15	Additional support/maintenance					200000	50000	150000

DIET has no operational vehicle

raipur

(* To be filled up separately under 6.7 below)

Equipments to be Procured in 3 Years