

## 6.1 ABOUT DIETs

<b>Name of DIET</b>	Jashpur	<b>Has DIET submitted self-appraisal Report to NCTE for 2013-14</b>	No
<b>No. of districts created between April 2002 and March 2011</b>	9	<b>Has DIET submitted Annual Action Plan for 2014-15</b>	yes
<b>Whether DIET is</b>	Upgraded	<b>Status of PAC</b>	yes
<b>(i) Upgraded</b>			
<b>(ii) New</b>			
<b>NCTE recognition order No. for D.Ed course</b>		<b>No. of DRCs sanctioned in your District, attach list</b>	No
<b>Annual Intake capacity in DIETs</b>	100	<b>No. of BRC, Attach separate list with Place, name phone no. and address of BRC</b>	Attached
<b>Actual no. of trainees admitted in 2013-14</b>			
<b>Address of DIET functional website</b>	<a href="http://www.dietjashpur.org">www.dietjashpur.org</a>	<b>No. of CRC, Attach separate list with Place, name, phone no. and address of CRC</b>	Attached
<b>Name, phone and E-mail of Website In-charge</b>	Shri C.K. Nath Shri R.R. Sonwani 9981171739, 9424187028	<b>No. of B.Ed.O, Attach separate list with Place, name, phone no. and address of B.Ed.O.</b>	Attached
<b>Name, phone no. and address, Email of D.Ed.O. in your all Districts</b>	Shri S.K.Gupta 07763220362		

## 6.2 PROCESS and Performance Indicators

Suggested Process Indicators	Suggested Performance Indicators
<p>1. Does the DIET have a detailed database on the school, teachers, Block Resource Centers &amp; Cluster Resource Centers in the district that it serves ? - <b>Yes</b></p> <p>2. Has the DIET conducted a training need analysis for teachers? - <b>Yes</b></p> <p>3. Does the DIET hold regular meetings with</p> <p>a. SSA</p> <p>b. RMSA</p> <p>c. IASE</p> <p>d. CTE</p> <p>e. SCERT - <b>Yes</b></p> <p>4. Has there been positive feedback on the D.Ed. Programme by student teachers, Are there records of the same? - <b>Yes</b></p> <p>5. Has there been positive feedback on the in-service programmes by elementary school teachers? Are there records of them? - <b>Yes</b></p> <p>6. Does the DIET use a Training Management System ? - <b>Yes</b></p> <p>7. Does the DIET conduct research studies related to teacher educators in the area that it covers ? - <b>Yes</b></p>	<p><b>INPUT / ACTIVITY Measures</b></p> <p>1. Number of visitors to the DIET Resource Center every month ( this excludes student visits during the library period). - <b>No</b></p> <p>2. Number of DIET faculty visits to schools in a quarter ( each visit to be at least 4 hours of interaction ) - <b>05</b></p> <p>3. Availability of technology enabled infrastructure ( functioning computers, internet connection email id and multi-media facilities)</p> <p>4. Average duration of Principalship in the last 5 years. - <b>1 Year</b></p> <p>5. % of faculty positions filled - <b>32%</b></p> <p>7. % of new books ( &lt; 3 years old ) in the institution library. - <b>4Years Old</b></p>
<p>8. What are the areas of research covered? - <b>24 School</b></p> <p>9. How many publications have been authored by DIET faculty- conference/ seminar, presentations, reports, newspaper / journal articles, book etc.? <b>No</b></p> <p>10. Are there regular faculty development programs for DIET faculty ? - <b>No</b></p> <p>11. How many faculty members at DIET were deputed for conferences, went on study leave and undertook exposure visits? - <b>1 Member</b></p>	<p><b>OUTPUT/ OUTCOME Measures</b></p> <p>1. Number of qualified teachers added to the system through DIETs. - <b>100%</b></p> <p>2. % of DIET students who cleared the TET. - <b>75%</b></p> <p>3. No. of modules for training of teachers, etc prepared DIET faculty - <b>No</b></p>

<p>12. What is the frequency of faculty meetings within the DIET ? Are there records of the same ? - <b>Yes</b></p> <p>13. What has been the most talked-about process improvement in the year within the DIET ? - <b>Yoga Training</b></p>	<p>4. No. of action research undertaken by the DIET faculty. - <b>No</b></p> <p>5. No. of resource material developed by DIET faculty for school teachers. - <b>No</b></p> <p>6. No. of faculty of DIETs who underwent capacity development and training progams . - <b>No</b></p> <p>7. Has the DIET prepared the Annual Action Plan 2013-14. - <b>yes</b></p>
---	---

### 6.3 INFRASTRUCTURE PROPOSAL

The State Government shall assess the infrastructure requirements of each of the DIETs, and prepare a comprehensive proposal for its strengthening, expansion, based on State SORs and submit its proposal before the Teacher Education Approval Board. While submitting the proposal, the State Government shall indicate the status of non-recurring Central assistance received under the Scheme prior to 2014-15 in the following format.

#### Status of Non-recurring Central Assistance received:

Name of DIET	Year in which central assistance received	component	instalment no.	Amount	Amount of Grant Utilized	% of Grant Utilized	Remark
Jashpur	90,00,000	-	-	90,00,000	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	90,00,000			90,00,000	0	0	0

#### 6.4 CURRENT STAFF AND PLAN - 2015-16

S.N.	Name of DIET	No. of posts sanctioned						Post Filled						Vacant posts						% of Vacant posts					
		Academic		Non Academic		Total		Academic		Non Academic		Total		Academic		Non Academic		Total		Academic		Non Academic		Total	
1	Jashpur	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U
		0	19	0	22	0	41	0	6	0	9	0	15	0	13	0	13	0	26	0.00	68.42	0.00	59.09	0.00	63.41

B.U. Before Upgradation

A.U. After Upgradation (Including Posts before upgradation)

**6.5 FUNCTION WISE FORMATS (To be prepared by each DIET and Consolidated in the State Annual Work Plan)**

<b>A PRE-SERVICE PROGRAMME</b>						
Name of course (D.Ed.)	Intake approved by NCTE	Duration of Programme	Actual no. of trainees targetted in 2014-15 as per AWP	Achievements	Shortfalls if any with reasons	Expenditure incurred
1. D.Ed 1st year	100	1 years	90	100%	-	-
2. D.Ed 2st year	100	1 years	93	100%	-	-

<b>B</b>	<b>RESEARCH AND ACTION RESEARCH</b>
----------	-------------------------------------

[illegible]

<b>C RESOURCE CENTRE AND DOCUMENTATION</b>									
Function	During 2014-15					Plan for 2015-16			
Resouce support types	No. of documents/ publications proposed to be released as per AWP 2014-15	No. of orientation held with teachers	Achievements	Shortfalls if any with reasons	Expenditure incurred	Planned numbers of documents/ publications releases	Planned no. of orientation held with teachers	Estimated Expenditure	Expected outcomes
-	-	-	-	Nil	-	-	-	Nil	-
DIET Magazine						200	3	25000	
Annual report						300	4	25000	



**D TRAINING PROGRAMMES FOR TEACHERS, BRC AND CRC COORDINATORS,VEC,SMC MEMBERS,etc.**

Function	During 2014-15					Plan for 2015-16			
Nature of Programme	No. of participants proposed to be covered as per AWP 2014-15	Average Duration of Programme	Achievements	Shortfalls if any with reasons	Expenditure incurred	Planned numbers of participants	Average Duration of Programme	Estimated Expenditure	Expected outcomes
English language training	82	10 Days	complete 82%	18 Not participate	193844	—	—		—
The orientaaian programme for the members of SMC	180	3 Days	Incomplete	-	108100	486	3Days	400000	Better management of schools
RTE based Training programme for H.M.	344	3 Days	Incomplete	-	230640	403	3Days	400000	The condition of schools will be improve and quality education Enhence among the students
The orientaaian programme for the PS teacher of Hindi language	704	3 Days	Incomplete	-	425100	400	3Days	350000	quality education will inhence

[illegible]

## E PROGRAMMES CONDUCTED FOR FACULTY OF DIET

[illegible]

## F TECHNOLOGY IN TEACHER EDUCATION

[illegible]

[illegible][illegible]

[illegible][illegible]

## I ON-SITE SUPPORT TO TEACHERS

[illegible]

## 6.6 BUDGET AND FINANCE (Attach additional details/documents of proposals)

S.N O.	Head of Expenditure	Central asistance in 2014-15	Expenditure incurred	Expenditure incurred		Unspent Balance as on 31.03.2015	Total proposed 2015-16	State Contribution 2015-16	Claim from GOI (2015- 16)
				Central share	State Share				
A	EXISTING DIETs								
1	Strengthening of phsical infrastructure (i) Civil Works								
	(ii)Equipments		0.00	0.00	0.00		20.00	5.00	15.00
2	programmes and activities		5.02	3.77	1.26		25.00	6.25	18.75
3	Salary of faculty and staff sanctioned and filled up after up-gradation		52.03	39.02	13.01		85.00	21.25	63.75
4	Faculty Development		0.00	0.00	0.00		1.50	0.38	1.13
5	Contingency		0.70	0.53	0.18		15.00	3.75	11.25
D	TECHNOLOGY IN TEACHER EDUCATION								
12	Hardware support								
13	Purchase of hub/switch								
14	One-time orientation/training of teacher educators								
15	Additional support/maintenance			0.00	0.00		2.00	0.50	1.50

Jashpur

DIET has no operational vehicle

Amount in Lakhs

(\* To be filled up separately under 6.7 below)



**6.7 CLAIM FOR RECURRING ASSISTANCE FOR THE YEAR 2015-16: PART-II: ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE ON SALARIES:DIETs/DRCs**

S.No.	Name of District where DIET is located	whether Upgraded or New	Year of Sanction	No. of posts												Estimated Annual Expenditure (for 2014-15) on posts which were			Actual expenditure in 2014-15 as on 31.01.2015 salaries of posts mentioned in col.7,10,13,&16,to the extent	State Contribution after upgradation 25%	Net claim from GOI on account of salaries for 2015-16 75%
				Principle payscale [15600-39100 GP 6600]			Vice-Principle/sr.Lecturer pay-Scale [ 9300-34800 GP 5400 ]			Lecturer Pay-Scale [ 9300-34800 GP 5400]		Para Academic Staff Pay-Scale [ 5200-20200 GP 2200]			In existence prior to up-gradation (whether filled up or not)	Filled up as on 31.1.15					
				SANCTIONED		Filled up as on 31.3.11	SANCTIONED		Filled up as on 31.3.11	SANCTIONED		Filled up as on 31.3.11	SANCTIONED		Filled up as on 31.3.11						
				B.U.	A.U.		B.U.	A.U.		B.U.	A.U.		B.U.	A.U.							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		19	20	21
1	Sarguja	Upgraded	89-90	0	1	1	1	6	5	5	12	6	5	22	17	19.71	170	150.29	113.04	57.28	112.72
2	Bastar	Upgraded	88-89	0	1	0	1	6	2	5	12	5	5	22	14	19.64	105	85.36	82.03	40.98	64.02
3	Durg	Upgraded	89-90	0	1	1	1	6	2	5	12	10	5	22	9	19.82	130	110.18	91.78	47.37	82.64
4	Raigarh	Upgraded	89-90	0	1	1	1	6	1	5	12	3	5	22	9	19.18	90	70.82	64.48	36.89	53.12
5	Jangir-champa	Upgraded	2005-06	0	1	1	1	6	2	5	12	9	5	22	8	19.58	140	120.42	105.44	49.69	90.32
6	Jashpur	Upgraded	2005-06	0	1	1	1	6	0	5	12	5	5	22	9	19.7	85	65.3	52.03	36.03	48.98
7	Kanker	Upgraded	2005-06	0	1	1	1	6	1	5	12	4	5	22	7	19.61	85	65.39	52.87	35.96	49.04
8	Rajnandgaon	Upgraded	91-92	0	1	1	1	6	2	5	12	5	5	22	7	19.91	100	80.09	71.66	39.93	60.07
9	Mahasamund	Upgraded	2005-06	0	1	1	1	6	1	5	12	3	5	22	5	19.32	80	60.68	51.01	34.49	45.51
10	Dhamtari	Upgraded	2005-06	0	1	1	1	6	1	5	12	3	5	22	6	19.45	85	65.55	59.92	35.84	49.16
11	Bilaspur	Upgraded	89-90	0	1	1	1	6	1	5	12	5	5	22	9	19.19	150	130.81	126.06	51.89	98.11
12	Raipur	Upgraded	88-89	0	1	1	1	6	6	5	12	11	5	22	7	20.22	180	159.78	140.58	60.17	119.84
13	Dantewada	New	2005-06	0	1	1	0	6	0	0	12	4	0	22	8	0	60	60	30.65	15.00	45.00
14	Korba	New	2005-06	0	1	1	0	6	3	0	12	12	0	22	10	0	140	140	109.99	35.00	105.00
15	Korea	New	2005-06	0	1	1	0	6	1	0	12	2	0	22	9	0	65	65	42.28	16.25	48.75
16	Kabirdham	New	2005-06	0	1	1	0	6	0	0	12	5	0	22	3	0	60	60	37.51	15.00	45.00
				0	16	15	12	96	28	60	192	92	60	352	137		1725	1489.7	1231.33	607.75	1117.25