

6.1 ABOUT DIETs

Name of DIET	KORBA	Has DIET submitted self-appraisal Report to NCTE for 2013-14	Yes
No. of districts created between April 2002 and March 2011	27	Has DIET submitted Annual Action Plan for 2014-15	Yes,Attached
Whether DIET is	New	Status of PAC	In Process
(i) Upgraded			
(ii) New			
NCTE recognition order No. for D.Ed course	--	No. of DRCs sanctioned in your District, attach list	Nil
Annual Intake capacity in DIETs	100	No. of BRC, Attach separate list with Place, name phone no. and address of BRC	06,Attached
Actual no. of trainees admitted in 2013-14			
Address of DIET functional website	dietkorba@gmail.com	No. of CRC, Attach separate list with Place, name, phone no. and address of CRC	118,Attached
Name, phone and E-mail of Website In-charge	P.K.KAUSHIK, 7587499794	No. of B.Ed.O, Attach separate list with Place, name, phone no. and address of B.Ed.O.	05,Attached
Name, phone no. and address, Email of D.Ed.O. in your all Districts	D.K.KAUSHIK,D.E.O.KORBA,9406438245		

6.2 PROCESS and Performance Indicators

Suggested Process Indicators	Suggested Performance Indicators
<p>1. Does the DIET have a detailed database on the school, teachers, Block Resource Centers & Cluster Resource Centers in the district that it serves ? Yes,</p> <p>2. Has the DIET conducted a training need analysis for teachers? Yes</p> <p>3. Does the DIET hold regular meetings with</p> <p>a. SSA</p> <p>b. RMSA</p> <p>c. IASE</p> <p>d. CTE</p> <p>e. SCERT Yes, according to need.</p> <p>4. Has there been positive feedback on the D.Ed. Programme by student teachers, Are there records of the same? Yes</p> <p>5. Has there been positive feedback on the in-service programmes by elementary school teachers? Are there records of them? Yes</p> <p>6. Does the DIET use a Training Management System ? No</p> <p>7. Does the DIET conduct research studies related to teacher educators in the area that it covers ? Yes</p> <p>8. What are the areas of research covered? Curriculum, Language development, Girls Education etc.</p> <p>9. How many publications have been authored by DIET faculty- conference/ seminar, presentations, reports, newspaper / journal articles, book etc.? NIL</p> <p>10. Are there regular faculty development programs for DIET faculty ? Yes</p> <p>11. How many faculty members at DIET were deputed for conferences, went on study leave and undertook exposure visits? 05</p> <p>12. What is the frequency of faculty meetings within the DIET ? Are there records of the same ? Once in month</p> <p>13. What has been the most talked-about process improvement in the year within the DIET ? No, Yet</p>	<p>INPUT / ACTIVITY Measures</p> <p>1. Number of visitors to the DIET Resource Center every month (this excludes student visits during the library period). Nil</p> <p>2. Number of DIET faculty visits to schools in a quarter (each visit to be at least 4 hours of interaction) All faculty members</p> <p>3. Availability of technology enabled infrastructure (functioning computers, internet connection email id and multi-media facilities) Yes</p> <p>4. Average duration of Principalship in the last 5 years. 03</p> <p>5. % of faculty positions filled 80%</p> <p>7. % of new books (< 3 years old) in the institution library. 10%</p> <p>OUTPUT/ OUTCOME Measures</p> <p>1. Number of qualified teachers added to the system through DIETs. 100</p> <p>2. % of DIET students who cleared the TET. 10%</p> <p>3. No. of modules for training of teachers, etc prepared DIET faculty - 05</p> <p>4. No. of action research undertaken by the DIET faculty. 20</p> <p>5. No. of resource material developed by DIET faculty for school teachers. -Nil</p> <p>6. No. of faculty of DIETs who underwent capacity development and training programs . 05</p> <p>7. Has the DIET prepared the Annual Action Plan 2014-15. Yes</p>

6.3 INFRASTRUCTURE PROPOSAL

The State Government shall assess the infrastructure requirements of each of the DIETs, and prepare a comprehensive proposal for its strengthening, expansion, based on State SORs and submit its proposal before the Teacher Education Approval Board. While submitting the proposal, the State Government shall indicate the status of non-recurring Central assistance received under the Scheme prior to 2014-15 in the following format.

Status of Non-recurring Central Assistance received:

Name of DIET	Year in which central assistance received	component	instalment no.	Amount	Amount of Grant Utilized	% of Grant Utilized	Remark
KORBA	2005-06	Building	1	7500000	7500000	100%	
	2006-07	Building	2	7500000	7500000	100%	
	2007-08	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	
	2008-09	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	
	2009-10	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	
	2011-12	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	
	2012-13	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	
	2013-14	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	
	2014-15	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	
Total				15000000	15000000	2	

6.4 CURRENT STAFF AND PLAN - 2015-16

S.N.	Name of DIET	No. of posts sanctioned						Post Filled						Vacant posts						% of Vacant posts					
		Academic		Non Academic		Total		Academic		Non Academic		Total		Academic		Non Academic		Total		Academic		Non Academic		Total	
1	KORBA	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U	B.U	A.U
		0	19	0	22	0	41	0	16	0	10	0	26	0	3	0	12	0	15	0.00	15.79	0.00	54.55	0.00	36.59

B.U. Before Upgradation

A.U. After Upgradation (Including Posts before upgradation]

6.5 FUCTION WISE FORMATS (To be prepared by each DIET and Consolidated in the State Annual Work Plan)

A PRE-SERVICE PROGRAMME						
Name of course (D.Ed.)	Intake approved by NCTE	Duration of Programme	Actual no. of trainees targetted in 2014-15 as per AWP	Achievements	Shortfalls if any with reasons	Expenditure incurred
1. D.Ed 1st year	100	1 Year	92	92	nil	Nil
2. D.Ed 2st year	100	1 Year	92	92	nil	Nil

B RESEARCH AND ACTION RESEARCH									
Function	During 2014-15					Plan for 2015-16			
Reasearch Title	Number of research proposed as per AWP 2014-15	Dissemination details (How was the research used)	Achievements	Shortfalls if any with reason	Expenditure incurred	Planned numbers	Dissemination details(How would the research be used)	Estimated Expenditure	Expected outcomes
1 Action Reseach	25	Research would be univarsalised in schools	22	03 due to not attendance in training	1.90 lacs	50	Research would be univarsalised in schools	3.50lacs	capacity and competency development of teachers and quality improvement of education
Total	25		21		1.90 lacs	50		3.50 lac	

C RESOURCE CENTRE AND DOCUMENTATION

[illegible]

D TRAINING PROGRAMMES FOR TEACHERS, BRC AND CRC COORDINATORS,VEC,SMC MEMBERS,etc.

Function	During 2014-15					Plan for 2015-16			
Nature of Programme	No. of participants proposed to be covered as per AWP 2014-15	Average Duration of Programme	Achievements	Shortfalls if any with reasons	Expenditure incurred	Planned numbers of participants	Average Duration of Programme	Estimated Expenditure	Expected outcomes
1.Eng. Trng for U.P.S. teachers	100	10 days	88	12	1.98 lacs				
2.Orientation of CAC	118	5 days	102	16	0.85 lacs	118	5 days	1.00 lacs	
3.ALM trn for UPS teachers	200	5 days	171	29	2.13 lacs	200	5 days	2.34 lacs	
4. orientation of P.S and UPS H.M	300	5 days	218	82	2.65 lacs	236	5 days	2.72 lacs	
5. orientation of H.M. for CWSN	100	5 days	108	92	1.25 lacs				
6. Induction trn for newly appoint.S.K.	100	20 days	100	NIL	4.45 lacs				
7. orientation of SMC members	118	5 days	118	NIL	1.70 lacs				
8. trng of aashram warden and teachers for girls educ.	150	5 days	150	NIL	1.82lacs				
9.Community Participation	-	-	-	-		750	3 days	1.12 lacs	
10.RTE						200	5 days	2.28 lacs	
11.orientation of Science/maths						250	5 days	2.95 lacs	

12.better teaching of english						200	5 days	2.34 lacs	
13.computer training						50	10 days	1.25 lacs	
RMSA	400	5DAYS	372	28					
yog shiksha	118	6days	113	5					
vidya[cvms]ded students	92	7days	92	NIL					
SSA[CAC orientation]	354	5 days	327	27					
TOTAL					16.83 lacs			16.00 lacs	

E PROGRAMMES CONDUCTED FOR FACULTY OF DIET

[illegible]

F TECHNOLOGY IN TEACHER EDUCATION

Function	During 2014-15					Plan for 2015-16			
Eg. 1 EDUSAT based training 2 Teacher education MIS 3 Computer literacy pograms	Number of teacher educators proposed to be covered as per AWP 2014-15	Brief objective of the programme	Achieve ments	Shortfall s if any with reasons	Expendi ture incurre d	Planned Number of teachers/ teacher educators covered	Brief objectives	Estimated Expenditure	Expected outcomes
1 Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
2 Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Total	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

G INNOVATIONS									
Function	During 2014-15					Plan for 2015-16			
Nature of innovation	No. of beneficiaries proposed to be covered as per AWP 2014-15	Brief objective	Achievements	Shortfalls if any with reasons	Expenditure incurred	No. of beneficiaries proposed to be covered	Brief objectives	Estimated Expenditure	Expected outcomes
1 NMMSE Tranning	100	able to solve question papers by trick methods	77	23	0.88 lacs	354	able to solve question papers by trick methods	2.00 lacs	able to solve question papers by trick methods
2Orientation of D.eD students	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	200	Orientation of D.eD students	0.50 lacs	Skill and Persanality development
Total	100		77		0.88 lacs	554		2.50 lacs	

H CONTENT & MATERIAL DEVELOPMENT									
Function	During 2014-15					Plan for 2015-16			
Type	No. of publications/R eleases proposed as per AWP 2014- 15	Target Group	Achievem ents	Shortfalls if any with reasons	Expenditur e incurred	No. of proposed publications/ releases	Target Group	Estimated Expenditure	Expected outcomes
Quartly Newsletter	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	1000	All clusters Of DIET	0.60 lacs	to gain knowledge about DIET activities
Annual Magazine	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	500	District Level	0.40 lacs	to gain knowledge about DIET activities
Total						1500		1.0 lacs	

I ON-SITE SUPPORT TO TEACHERS

Function	During 2014-15					Plan for 2015-16			
Eg. Visits to Schools	Number of visites proposed as per AWP 2014-15	Average duration of each visit	Achievements	Shortfalls if any with reasons	Expenditure incurred	Planned numbers visites	Average duration of each visit	Estimated Expenditure	Expected outcomes
1 School Monitoring	100ps &100 ups-	6 hrs	50 ps&50 ups	50+50 due to election-	3.0 lacs	300	6 hrs	3.0 lacs	School Improvement
2									
3									
Total						3.0 lacs		3.0 lacs	

6.6 BUDGET AND FINANCE (Attach additional details/documents of proposals)

S.No.	Head of Expenditure	Central assistance in 2014-15	Expenditure incurred	Expenditure incurred		Unspent Balance as on 31.03.2015	Total proposed 2015-16	State Contribution 2015-16	Claim from GOI (2015-
				Central share	State Share				
A	EXISTING DIETs								
1	Strengthening of								
	(ii)Equipments		0.00	0.00	0.00		20.00	5.00	15.00
2	programmes and activities		10.37	7.78	2.59		28.50	7.13	21.38
3	Salary of faculty and staff sanctioned and filled up after up-gradation		109.99	82.49	27.50		140.00	35.00	105.00
4	Faculty Development		0.00	0.00	0.00		2.00	0.50	1.50
5	Contingency		2.99	2.24	0.75		15.00	3.75	11.25
D	TECHNOLOGY IN TEACHER EDUCATION								
12	Hardware support								
13	Purchase of hub/switch								
14	One-time orientation/training of teacher educators								
15	Additional support/maintenance			0.00	0.00		2.00	0.50	1.50

Korba

DIET has no operational vehicle

Amount in Lakhs

(* To be filled up separately under 6.7 below)

6.7 CLAIM FOR RECURRING ASSISTANCE FOR THE YEAR 2015-16: PART-II: ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE ON SALARIES:DIETs/DRCs

S.No.	Name of District where DIET is located	whether Upgraded or New	Year of Sanction	No. of posts												Estimated Annual Expenditure (for 2014-15) on posts which were			Actual expenditure in 2014-15 as on 31.01.2015 salaries of posts mentioned in col.7,10,13,&16,to the extent	State Contribution after upgradation 25%	Net claim from GOI on account of salaries for 2015-16 75%
				Principle payscale [15600-39100 GP 6600]			Vice-Principle/sr.Lecturer pay-Scale [9300-34800 GP 5400]			Lecturer Pay-Scale [9300-34800 GP 5400]			Para Academic Staff Pay-Scale [5200-20200 GP 2200]			In existence prior to up-gradation (whether filled up or not)	Filled up as on 31.1.15				
				SANCTIONED	Filled up as on 31.3.11		SANCTIONED	Filled up as on 31.3.11		SANCTIONED	Filled up as on 31.3.11		SANCTIONED	Filled up as on 31.3.11							
				B.U.	A.U.		B.U.	A.U.		B.U.	A.U.		B.U.	A.U.							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		19	20	21
1	Sarguja	Upgraded	89-90	0	1	1	1	6	5	5	12	6	5	22	17	19.71	170	150.29	113.04	57.28	112.72
2	Bastar	Upgraded	88-89	0	1	0	1	6	2	5	12	5	5	22	14	19.64	105	85.36	82.03	40.98	64.02
3	Durg	Upgraded	89-90	0	1	1	1	6	2	5	12	10	5	22	9	19.82	130	110.18	91.78	47.37	82.64
4	Raigarh	Upgraded	89-90	0	1	1	1	6	1	5	12	3	5	22	9	19.18	90	70.82	64.48	36.89	53.12
5	Jangir-champa	Upgraded	2005-06	0	1	1	1	6	2	5	12	9	5	22	8	19.58	140	120.42	105.44	49.69	90.32
6	Jashpur	Upgraded	2005-06	0	1	1	1	6	0	5	12	5	5	22	9	19.7	85	65.3	52.03	36.03	48.98
7	Kanker	Upgraded	2005-06	0	1	1	1	6	1	5	12	4	5	22	7	19.61	85	65.39	52.87	35.96	49.04
8	Rajnandgaon	Upgraded	91-92	0	1	1	1	6	2	5	12	5	5	22	7	19.91	100	80.09	71.66	39.93	60.07
9	Mahasamund	Upgraded	2005-06	0	1	1	1	6	1	5	12	3	5	22	5	19.32	80	60.68	51.01	34.49	45.51
10	Dhamtari	Upgraded	2005-06	0	1	1	1	6	1	5	12	3	5	22	6	19.45	85	65.55	59.92	35.84	49.16
11	Bilaspur	Upgraded	89-90	0	1	1	1	6	1	5	12	5	5	22	9	19.19	150	130.81	126.06	51.89	98.11
12	Raipur	Upgraded	88-89	0	1	1	1	6	6	5	12	11	5	22	7	20.22	180	159.78	140.58	60.17	119.84
13	Dantewada	New	2005-06	0	1	1	0	6	0	0	12	4	0	22	8	0	60	60	30.65	15.00	45.00
14	Korba	New	2005-06	0	1	1	0	6	3	0	12	12	0	22	10	0	140	140	109.99	35.00	105.00
15	Korea	New	2005-06	0	1	1	0	6	1	0	12	2	0	22	9	0	65	65	42.28	16.25	48.75
16	Kabirdham	New	2005-06	0	1	1	0	6	0	0	12	5	0	22	3	0	60	60	37.51	15.00	45.00
				0	16	15	12	96	28	60	192	92	60	352	137		1725	1489.7	1231.33	607.75	1117.25